MCIT
Modeling, control & information technologies

Adaptive Operational Modes of the Military Environmental Security System

https://doi.org/10.31713/MCIT.2025.128

Ramil Akhundov
Professor at National Defence University
Baku, Azerbaijan
mr.axundov1@gmail.com

Elnur Mammadov
Professor at National Defence University
Baku, Azerbaijan
elnurfayu@gmail.com

Abstract - This paper develops a structured framework of adaptive operational modes for the military environmental security system under radiation and chemical threat conditions. The approach organizes system functioning into four modes: Routine, Elevated Readiness, Emergency, and Combat Contaminated. For each mode the framework specifies entry and exit criteria, objectives, role specific actions, resource posture, and communications rhythm. A compact set of functional parameters is defined, including threat level, reaction time budget, zone status, unit readiness, resource availability, and data integrity. Transitions are governed by finite state logic with conservative escalation rules, explicit hysteresis, and uncertainty weighting based on calibration state and signal quality. The integration concept aligns sensing, analytics, and command decision making through measurable performance targets such as alarm latency, source localization accuracy, and contour forecast error. The framework is designed for interoperability with heterogeneous sensors, UAV payloads, laboratory systems, and public health feeds, and it encodes legal exposure limits as machine readable policies. The expected outcomes are reduced time to action, predictable cross unit behavior, lower personnel exposure, and improved auditability for after action learning and doctrine refinement.

Keywords - environmental security, armed forces, operational modes, radiation safety, chemical safety, decision support, finite state machine, dispersion modeling, CBRN, interoperability.

I.INTRODUCTION

Environmental security in the armed forces is not a set of isolated procedures but a continuous risk-management function that must adapt to changing conditions. Radiation and chemical threats are marked by invisibility, persistence, and a high cost of error. Fixed regulations that ignore context can lead either to delayed reaction or excessive measures. Practice therefore requires structuring system operations into modes that predefine objectives, resource allocations, reconnaissance priorities, and transition thresholds.

The aim of this paper is to develop a framework of adaptive operational modes for the military environmental protection system and to describe the logic for switching between them based on sources of risk, operational context, availability of forces and assets, and decision time constraints. We propose a mode classification that links event indicators to command actions and performance metrics, and we show how digital tools for monitoring, forecasting, and decision support shorten the detect–decide–act cycle. The result is a governed escalation scale that improves readiness, reduces personnel exposure, and minimizes ecological damage while maintaining regulatory compliance and interagency interoperability.

II.BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

Most military environmental security arrangements grew organically from CBRN doctrine focused on detection, protection, decontamination, medical support, and reconnaissance [1-3, 17, 21]. While effective in isolation, these lines of effort often operate as parallel workflows with limited integration and no explicit notion of operational modes. In practice, commanders rely on fixed playbooks that underperform when context shifts: a routine training release at a depot, a toxic industrial chemical spill near a garrison, or a contamination event during active maneuver each demand different objectives, tempos, and rules of engagement [4-8]. Without mode-based structure, units oscillate between overreaction (premature evacuations, unnecessary mission aborts) and underreaction (delayed shelter-in-place, late reconnaissance tasking), which increases exposure and ecological damage [8-11].

Several systemic gaps recur across after-action reviews. First, interoperability and data fusion are uneven: heterogeneous sensors, ad hoc logging, and delayed validation degrade the signal-to-decision pipeline. Second, thresholds and triggers are not consistently tied to operational risk; AEGL or OEL and dose-rate exceedances are not systematically mapped to decision points, protective postures, or reconnaissance priorities. Third, time discipline is weak: few SOPs allocate explicit budgets for the detect-decide-act sequence, which creates avoidable latency [12-15]. Fourth, command authority and handoff logic are ambiguous during escalation, producing duplicated orders or stalled responses at the seams between safety officers, RCB units, medical services, and maneuver commanders. Finally, cyber and electromagnetic contestation (jamming, spoofing, telemetry loss) is not uniformly accounted for in environmental response procedures [15-20].

These shortcomings are amplified by modern conditions such as dense urban terrain, dual-use targets, high mobility, and media scrutiny. They expose a design problem rather than a purely technical one: the system lacks a governed set of operational modes with clear entry and exit criteria, predefined objectives, resource levels, and transition logic. What is needed is (i) a concise taxonomy of modes (for example Routine, Elevated Readiness, Emergency, Combat Contaminated), (ii) measurable triggers and indicators for switching, (iii) role-specific actions and communications patterns for each mode, and (iv) performance metrics such as response time, reliability, accuracy, and coverage that permit audit and continuous improvement [20-24, 40]. The remainder of this paper formulates such a mode structure and shows how to operationalize transitions using finite state logic supported by digital monitoring, forecasting, and decision support.

III. CLASSIFICATION OF OPERATIONAL MODES

The functioning of the military environmental security system is organized into a limited number of operational modes that structure goals, resources, decision thresholds, and communications. Such a taxonomy provides a governed escalation scale and prevents both overreaction and underreaction. In what follows, four modes are defined and justified by their entry triggers, operational objectives, typical actions, resource posture, and exit criteria. The detect–decide–act sequence remains central in each mode, but its tempo and the granularity of control vary according to risk and context.

In the Routine mode the system operates under normal conditions with no validated anomalies. The objective is to maintain reliable background monitoring, preserve calibration traceability, and sustain personnel proficiency [25-29, 39]. Fixed posts, mobile teams, and periodic UAV sorties provide representative coverage, while automated quality control validates streams and arms alert thresholds. Communications follow a regular rhythm and the common operational picture is refreshed at conservative intervals. Exit from the mode occurs when any validated anomaly or intelligence cue crosses elevated trigger bands.

The Elevated Readiness mode is activated by precursors or weak signals that suggest potential contamination, for example a sustained deviation of dose rate from baseline, an external alert from civil authorities, or intelligence on increased risk [30-33, 38]. The emphasis shifts to shortening detection time and verifying any anomaly before it escalates. Sampling frequency increases, UAV sniffing patterns are launched over likely plumes, and robotic assets are prepositioned near potential hotspots. Quality control thresholds are tightened and communication redundancy is checked. The mode is sustained until measurements return to normal over a defined observation window, or it escalates to Emergency upon confirmation.

The Emergency mode addresses a confirmed contamination event. Entry triggers include verified exceedance of AEGL or OEL, confirmed radiological spectra or dose rate, or clinical indicators consistent with exposure. The immediate objective is rapid hazard delimitation, exposure reduction, safe routing, and initiation of countermeasures [30, 31, 32]. Command issues shelter in place or evacuation orders by geofenced sectors, tasks CBRN reconnaissance to high information gain waypoints, deploys decontamination assets, and activates medical triage protocols. Analytics switch to streaming forecasts with frequent updates and the common operational picture refreshes at subminute intervals. The mode is terminated when indicators fall below operational limits with a stable downward trend, or it transitions to Combat Contaminated if hostilities and contamination persist.

The Combat Contaminated mode governs operations in a contaminated battlespace under adversary interference. Entry is justified by ongoing hostilities in affected zones, repeated strikes on industrial or storage sites, or confirmed spoofing and jamming against monitoring assets [32-35]. The priority is to sustain mission continuity while keeping exposure below operational limits and preserving legal traceability. Sensor coverage follows a k out of n strategy with dynamic tasking, communications use hardened links with frequency agility, and robotic sampling is performed where human access is unsafe. Route optimization balances tactical risk and dose, while rolling decontamination points support maneuver formations [35,36]. De escalation occurs once hostilities cease or contamination decays below thresholds for a defined period.

To enable predictable behavior across units, measurable triggers and performance indicators are associated with each mode. Table I summarizes the classification in a compact form that links evidence to action and resources.

Escalation follows a conservative rule that combines model based exceedance probabilities for AEGL or OEL, verified dose rate percentiles relative to baseline, anomaly scores from data fusion, and intelligence flags. Any two independent cues justify a step up by one mode, while a single hard exceedance prompts an immediate jump to Emergency [37]. De escalation requires both measurement normalization and a stable forecast over a defined window, with explicit commander confirmation. Distinct entry and exit thresholds are used to avoid oscillation, and all transitions are timestamped and traceable to source data to support audit and after action learning.

IV. FUNCTIONAL PARAMETERS AND TRANSITION LOGIC

The mode structure is governed by a compact set of functional parameters that can be measured or estimated in real time and that map directly to decision points. Let the threat level be denoted by T, the reaction time budget by Tr, the zone status vector by Zc, the readiness of CBRN units by G, the availability of resources by Rv, and the integrity of communications and data by Ic. These parameters are derived from sensor observations, meteorological feeds, intelligence cues, and self diagnostics of the monitoring network. Each parameter has operating bands that correspond to mode entry and exit criteria.

Threat level T aggregates indicators such as dose rate exceedances, toxic industrial chemical concentrations relative to AEGL or OEL, and model based exceedance probabilities within a forecast horizon. The reaction time budget Tr is computed from the shortest time to harmful exposure given current concentrations and projected plume motion, minus known delays in sensing, transmission, analytics, and command. The zone status vector Zc encodes geofenced areas with discrete labels that distinguish clean, suspect, contaminated, and restricted movement sectors. Unit readiness G covers personnel availability, protective posture, and equipment serviceability. Resource availability Rv expresses spare parts, power, fuel, and medical capacity. Integrity Ic measures telemetry continuity, synchronization, and verified authenticity.

Transition logic is implemented as a finite state machine with four states that represent the operational modes. Each state has a control policy that sets sampling rates, tasking of UAV and robotic assets, analytic refresh periods, and communications rhythm. Escalation from Routine to Elevated Readiness occurs when any of the following holds: T enters a warning band for a defined window, Tr falls below a precautionary threshold, Zc flags a suspect sector of nontrivial size, or Ic degrades below a minimum acceptable value. Escalation to Emergency is triggered by a single hard criterion such as a confirmed AEGL or OEL exceedance or by a composite rule that requires two independent cues, for example a verified spectral signature together with a model forecast that places a populated area inside the predicted contour. Transition to Combat Contaminated follows confirmation that contamination persists in an area of active hostilities or that adversary interference has compromised normal monitoring.

De escalation requires both measurement normalization and forecast stability. Exit from Emergency to Elevated Readiness is permitted when indicators remain below operational limits over a continuous window and when the forecast probability of exceedance stays below a conservative bound. Exit from Elevated Readiness to Routine requires restoration of baseline trends, full communications integrity, and confirmation of sensor health. Distinct entry and exit thresholds are used to introduce hysteresis and prevent oscillation between modes.

Uncertainty management is integral to the logic. Each indicator enters the decision rule with a confidence weight that depends on calibration state, signal to noise ratio, data lineage, and cross platform consistency. When confidence is low, the system tasks additional measurements that maximize expected information gain and delays irreversible actions until verification is obtained or until Tr indicates that delay would create unacceptable risk. Time discipline is enforced by allocating explicit budgets to each stage of the detect decide act sequence and by alerting staff when any stage is at risk of violating its budget.

The transition engine records all decisions with timestamps, parameter snapshots, and provenance links to raw observations. This audit trail supports after action learning and periodic recalibration of thresholds. In practice the combination of measurable parameters, conservative escalation rules, and explicit hysteresis yields predictable behavior across units, reduces latency without sacrificing verification, and aligns tactical actions with strategic intent and legal compliance.

V. DISCUSSION AND OPERATIONAL INTEGRATION

Operational integration of mode based environmental security requires alignment of technology, doctrine, and organization. The mode taxonomy provides a shared language for commanders, CBRN units, medical services, logistics, and civil protection partners. To make it actionable, standing operating procedures must map each mode to specific roles, data products, and time budgets. The command information system should display mode state, entry and exit criteria, and countdown timers for detect, decide, and act stages. This makes delays visible and enforces time discipline.

Interoperability is a central requirement. Heterogeneous sensors, UAV payloads, laboratory systems, and public health feeds must publish to a common data model with provenance and integrity checks. Communications plans need priority queues for critical alerts, store and forward for outages, and authenticated short reports for degraded conditions.

Cybersecurity controls should include mutual authentication, key rotation, anomaly detection for spoofed spectra and synthetic plumes, and radio monitoring for jamming. Legal compliance requires that exposure limits, reporting thresholds, and data retention rules are codified as machine readable policies that the system can enforce.

Human readiness remains the decisive factor. Training should combine table top exercises with live simulations that replay incidents under different modes, including contested electromagnetic environments. Performance should be tracked through a compact set of indicators such as alarm latency, localization accuracy, contour forecast error, exposure distributions by role, and rate of false positives. Periodic reviews must compare results against these targets and adjust thresholds or resource allocations. With these elements in place, the mode structure becomes an operational framework that reduces uncertainty, shortens reaction times, and enables coordinated action across military and civilian partners.

Table I. Operational modes of the military environmental security system

Mode	Entry triggers	Objectives	Typical actions	Resource posture	Exit criteria
Routine	No validated anomalies; indicators within control limits	Maintain baselines, calibration traceability, personnel proficiency	Nominal fixed and mobile sensing; periodic UAV mapping; automated QC; scheduled drills	Standard staffing and PPE; routine spares and batteries	Any validated anomaly or risk cue crossing Mode 2 trigger bands
Elevated Readiness	Anomalous trend vs baseline; external alert; intelligence of increased risk; coverage irregularities	Shorten detection time; strengthen verification; prepare targeted reconnaissance	Increase sampling; launch UAV sniffing patterns; preposition robots; tighten QC thresholds; validate comms redundancy	Augmented staffing; elevated PPE on standby; expanded spares and power	Sustained normalization returns to Routine; confirmation of hazard escalates to Emergency
Emergency	Verified AEGL or OEL exceedance; confirmed spectra or dose rate; clinical indicators; credible ISR or forensic confirmation	Delimit hazard; reduce exposure; route safely; deploy countermeasures	Geofenced shelter in place or evacuation; task CBRN recon to high information gain waypoints; deploy decon; activate medical triage; streaming forecasts with frequent updates	Full RCB staffing; PPE level raised; logistics priority to fuel, filters, and medical supplies	Indicators fall below operational limits with stable decline, else transition to Combat Contaminated if hostilities persist
Combat Contaminated	Ongoing hostilities in contaminated zones; repeated strikes; confirmed spoofing or jamming	Sustain operations; keep exposure below limits; assure traceability under contestation	k out of n sensor coverage; hardened comms with agility; robotic sampling under fire; dose balanced routing; rolling decon points	Surge staffing; maximum PPE for designated teams; layered spares and power; elevated cyber defense	Hostilities cease or contamination decays below thresholds, then controlled de escalation to Emergency or Elevated Readiness

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper formalized adaptive operational modes for the military environmental security system and defined measurable parameters, transition logic, and integration practices that align sensing, analytics, and command action. The proposed taxonomy structures Routine, Elevated Readiness, Emergency, and Combat Contaminated modes with clear entry and exit criteria, role specific actions, and time budgets for the detect decide act sequence. By linking indicators to decisions and resources, the framework reduces uncertainty, shortens reaction times, and limits personnel exposure and ecological harm while maintaining legal traceability and interoperability. Limitations include the need for sustained training, rigorous cybersecurity, and continuous calibration of thresholds under diverse terrains and weather. Future work should validate the mode engine in live exercises, refine uncertainty weighting for decision rules, and codify doctrine that embeds the mode structure into joint operations with civil protection partners.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Akhundov, “Advancements in monitoring radiation and chemical hazards for military environmental safety,” Матеріали конференцій МЦНД, (04.07.2025; Ужгород, Україна), pp. 89–97, 2025. doi: 10.62731/mcnd-04.07.2025.002.

[2] R. G. Akhundov and E. A. Eldarov, “Special operations forces in modern conflicts,” Вестник науки и образования, no. 6(149), pp. 16–20, 2024.

[3] A. R. Jabrayilov et al., “Experience of international cooperation in the field of military environmental safety,” Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools, vol. 1, pp. 116–117, 2025.

[4] R. Akhundov and I. Islamov, “Operational modes of environmental security systems in the armed forces facing radiation and chemical threats,” Collection of Scientific Papers «SCIENTIA», (Aug. 22, 2025; Bern, Switzerland), pp. 103–111, 2025.

[5] A. M. Talibov et al., “The use of unmanned aerial vehicles for monitoring chemical and radiation contamination,” in Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools: Proc. 15th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 4, pp. 88–89, 2025.

[6] Р. Г. Ахундов, А. Г. Ахмедова, Ш. Д. Даньялов, и И. И. Мустафаев, “Радиационно-стимулированные процессы получения активного угля,” Санкт-Петербург, vol. 25, no. 1, p. 47, 2020.

[7] A. Talibov et al., “The main anthropogenic sources of atmospheric pollution,” in Problems of Informatization: Proc. 12th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 3, pp. 53–54, Baku–Kharkiv–Bielsko-Biala, 2024.

[8] R. Akhundov and I. Islamov, “Innovative technologies for radiation and chemical protection in the armed forces,” Collection of Scientific Papers «ΛΌГОΣ», (June 6, 2025; Bologna, Italy), pp. 247–255, 2025.

[9] R. Akhundov, “Modern developments in the field of weapons of mass destruction and defence against them,” in Problems of Informatization: Proc. 12th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 3, pp. 132–133, 2024.

[10] R. Akhundov and I. Islam, “Ensuring environmental safety in military activities considering radiological and chemical protection,” Collection of Scientific Papers «SCIENTIA», (May 23, 2025; New York, USA), pp. 175–182, 2025.

[11] A. R. Jabrayilov et al., “Development of a comprehensive environmental protection system for military facilities,” Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools, vol. 4, pp. 82–83, 2025.

[12] R. Mammadov et al., “Enhancing special forces management efficiency in modern operations,” in Problems of Informatization: Proc. 12th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 3, pp. 31–32, 2024.

[13] R. Akhundov and I. Islamov, “Comprehensive approach to establishing operational modes of environmental security systems in military forces under radiation and chemical hazards,” Collection of Scientific Papers «SCIENTIA», (Aug. 8, 2025; Liverpool, UK), pp. 108–116, 2025.

[14] R. Akhundov and E. Hashimov, “The impact of new technologies on enhancing the efficiency of armed,” Матеріали конференцій МЦНД (13.06.2025; Lutsk, Ukraine), pp. 186–195, 2025.

[15] R. G. Akhundov and A. M. Talibov, “Environmental safety as a component of national security,” in The Latest Technologies – for the Protection of Airspace: Abstracts of the 20th Int. Sci. Conf. of Kharkiv National University of the Air Force Named After Ivan Kozhedub, Kharkiv, Ukraine, May 2–3, 2024, pp. 25–27.

[16] A. R. Jabrayilov et al., “The role of environmental monitoring in ensuring the safety of military units,” Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools, vol. 1, pp. 128–129, 2025.

[17] R. Akhundov and D. Sh., “The use of modified activated coal in sorption of carbon-monoxide,” in Materials of the Int. Sci.-Practical Conf. “Radiation and Chemical Safety Problems”, Baku, Nov. 2019, pp. 161–162.

[18] A. M. Talibov et al., “Training military personnel in radiation and chemical threat protection methods,” in Proc. 15th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 4, pp. 94–95, 2025.

[19] R. Q. Axundov, "Azərbaycan Ordusunda radiasiya, kimyəvi və bioloji kəşfiyyatın xüsusiyyətləri," in 4-cü Sənaye İnqilabı və İqtisadiyyatın Rəqəmsallaşdırılması: Beynəlxalq Elmi Konfransın Materialları, pp. 104–108, 2023.

[20] R. Akhundov and I. Islamov, "Implementation of new technologies for cleaning and neutralizing radiological and chemical contaminants in military environments," Матеріали конференцій МЦНД, (30.05.2025; Київ, Україна), pp. 321–329, 2025.

[21] R. Akhundov, "Establishing a global system for radiation and chemical security monitoring: importance and opportunities for international cooperation," Collection of Scientific Papers «ΛΌГOΣ», (July 4, 2025; Zurich, Switzerland), pp. 121–127, 2025.

[22] R. Akhundov and I. Islamov, "Exploring the potential, challenges, and future of robots and autonomous systems in warfare," Матеріали конференцій МЦНД, (18.07.2025; Тернопіль, Україна), pp. 117–126, 2025.

[23] Р. Г. Ахундов, "Построение экспериментальных изотерм адсорбции образцами угленаполненного химзащитного субстрата," Наука, техника и образование, no. 10(63), pp. 16–20, 2019.

[24] A. M. Talibov et al., "Application of biotechnology to mitigate the consequences of radiological and chemical contamination," in Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools: Proc. 15th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 1, pp. 86–87, 2025.

[25] R. Akhundov and E. Hashimov, "The environmental impact of war: Effects, challenges, and solutions," Матеріали конференцій МЦНД (27.06.2025; Dnipro, Ukraine), pp. 103–112, 2025.

[26] A. M. Talibov et al., "Modeling and forecasting radiological and chemical threats in the military sphere," in Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools: Proc. 15th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 1, pp. 120–121, 2025.

[27] I. Islamov et al, "Integrating environmental security into defense strategy with a focus on radiological and chemical risks," Strategic directions of science development: Factors of influence and interaction: Collection of scientific papers with materials of the VII International Scientific Conference, Cherkasy, Ukraine, Sept. 26, 2025, pp. 115–125. doi: 10.62731/mcnd-26.09.2025.

[28] A. Jabrayilov et al., "Digital technologies and artificial intelligence in the management of environmental safety in the army," in Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools: Proc. 15th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 1, pp. 110–111, 2025.

[29] E. V. Mammadov et al., "Development of multilayered protection systems against chemical, radiological, and biological hazards for military personnel," in Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools, vol. 1, pp. 112–113, 2025.

[30] A. Talibov et al., "Environmental safety of nanomaterials application," in Problems of Informatization: Proc. 12th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 3, pp. 55–56, Baku–Kharkiv–Bielsko-Biala, 2024.

[31] S. Babayev et al., "Prospects for the application of nanotechnology in the military sector," in Problems of Informatization: Proc. 12th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 3, pp. 14–15, 2024.

[32] R. Akhundov, "Application of innovative technologies for the decontamination and neutralization of radiological and chemical hazards in military environments," Collection of Scientific Papers «ΛΌГOΣ», (Aug. 1, 2025; Seoul, South Korea), pp. 107–115, 2025. doi: 10.36074/logos-01.08.2025.017.

[33] A. R. Jabrayilov et al., "Prospects for creating closed ecological life support systems," Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools, vol. 4, pp. 92–93, 2025.

[34] R. Akhundov and I. Islamov, "Implementation of new technologies for cleaning and neutralizing radiological and chemical contaminants in military environments," Матеріали конференцій МЦНД, (30.05.2025; Київ, Україна), pp. 321–329, 2025.

[35] A. H. Hasanov et al., "Scientific and technological progress or environmental safety," in Problems of Informatization: Proc. 12th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., vol. 3, pp. 22–23, 2024.

[36] R. Akhundov, "Ecocide in the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict: an analysis of Armenia's environmental impact on Azerbaijan," in Current Directions of Development of Information and Communication Technologies and Control Tools. Abstracts of the 14th Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf., Kharkiv, Ukraine, vol. 2, pp. 95–96, Apr. 2024.

[37] R. Q. Axundov, "Azərbaycan Ordusunda ekoloji təhlükəsizliyin təşkili və təkmilləşdirilməsi," Hərbi Bilik, no. 4, pp. 7–15, 2024.

[38] R. Akhundov and I. Islamov, "Innovative technologies for enhancing environmental security in armed forces under radiation and chemical threats," Матеріали конференцій МЦНД, (15.08.2025; Харків, Україна), pp. 141–150, 2025.

[39] S. M. Babayev et al., "The impact of new technologies on the progress of military art," in Proc. Int. Sci. and Practical Conf., vol. 6, pp. 54–56, 2024.

[40] R. Akhundov, "The environmental impact of military activities," ResearchGet, 2024. [Online]. Available: ResearchGet. [Accessed: Oct. 4, 2025].